IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 3461 of 2005

Between:

P.Rajagopal Rao, S/o.Bheem Rao,
R/o.CCC Cross Road, Ramnagar, Mancherial,
Adilabad District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Dy.Inspector General of police, Karimnagar.
2 The Superintendent of police, Adilabad.
3 The Circle Inspector of Police, Mancherial, Adilabad Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ or direction preferably writ of mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in providing security by way of providing 2 gunmen having identified the threat as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice, and consequently direct the respondents to provide 2 gunmen to the petitioner as done earlier during Elections by considering his representations dt.27-1-2005 and 19-2-2005 and pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:.

Heard both sides.

The petitioner herein, seeks police protection on the ground that he was in the hit list of some extremist organization.

It appears that the petitioner was given police protection by way of a gunman. After the elections, perhaps, since there was no danger to his life, the security was withdrawn. Now again, it appears, the petitioner is in the hit list of extremist outfits. Hence, he made a representation to the concerned authority, seeking police protection by providing him with a gunman.

In the counter affidavit, it is stated that the representation filed by the petitioner, seeking protection for providing a gunman, is under active consideration before the second respondent.

Having regard to the said statement made in the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, suffice it to close the Writ Petition, with a direction to the second respondent, to consider the representation of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from today. No costs.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 14.03.2005

Ka

Note: Furnish copy in two days.

To

1 The Dy.Inspector General of police, Karimnagar.
2 The Superintendent of police, Adilabad.
3 The Circle Inspector of Police, Mancherial, Adilabad Dist.

4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)

5. 2 CD Copies.